P. F. 21 of 96

O. S. 19 / 21-4

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No 31980

1894-1895

192 .

(L. R.

File No. 94 of 94

Serial Nos. 1-13

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-13

Major ~~Part~~ B for

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Srinivasa Iyer of Thirthahalley regarding the Khalsa on the Inam lands.



WD 2253- GPB —20,000—14-6-20

RD

Under

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894.

No. Camp R. No. 145

Name of Petitioner. Balamukunda Joisalia & Gurivasa Jois sons of Samagodu Venkatrama Jois

Village. Thirthahalli

Taluk. Do.

District. Shimoga

Date of Arzi. 19-10-94

Date of Receipt. 19-10-94.

Date of Disposal. two Rupees Stamps affixed

No. of Enclosures. one

L.R. 94-96-1

ABSTRACT.

Complains that an excessive Khalsat amounting to Rs 108-8-0 is unjustly levied on the inam lands pertaining to Samagodu Ramachendra swamy temple although the inam lands are not extended & stand within the Vamana Tirthe boundary stone limits, & prays that the unjust Khalsat may be cancelled that the old jodi may be confirmed & that the Revenue authorities may be ordered not to recover the excess Khalsat & not to take coercive measures for the recovery of the same.

To the Amildar Thirthahalli for an inquiry & report

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.



MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION-DEPARTMENT.
CAMP Mysore
REG'D. No. 445
DATE 24 NOV 1924

II

4

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದಕ್ಕೂ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಆರಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

1. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಗುರುವಿನ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
   ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

2. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಯಾವುದು?
   ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಬಸವಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭು ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ

3. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪತ್ನಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
   ಅ) ಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಮಾಯಾದೇವಿ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿಗೆ ಪತ್ನಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ

4. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಯಾರು?
   ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

5. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಬೋಧನೆ ಯಾವುದು?
   ಅ) ಕರ್ಮಕಾಂಡ ಆ) ಜ್ಞಾನಮಾರ್ಗ ಇ) ಭಕ್ತಿಮಾರ್ಗ ಈ) ಯೋಗಮಾರ್ಗ

6. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಕಾಲ ಯಾವುದು?
   ಅ) 10ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಆ) 11ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಇ) 12ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಈ) 13ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ

7. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಕೃತಿ ಯಾವುದು?
   ಅ) ವಚನಗಳು ಆ) ಶೂನ್ಯಸಂಪಾದನೆ ಇ) ಕಾವ್ಯಗಳು ಈ) ಪುರಾಣಗಳು

8. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಸಮಾಧಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿದೆ?
   ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಈ) ಸಮಾಧಿ ಇಲ್ಲ

9. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಸಂದೇಶ ಯಾವುದು?
   ಅ) ಜಾತಿ ಭೇದ ಆ) ಮೂರ್ತಿ ಪೂಜೆ ಇ) ಆತ್ಮಜ್ಞಾನ ಈ) ಯಜ್ಞಯಾಗ

10. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಗುರುವಿನ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

11. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಬಸವಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭು ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ

12. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪತ್ನಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಮಾಯಾದೇವಿ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿಗೆ ಪತ್ನಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ

13. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಯಾರು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

14. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಬೋಧನೆ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕರ್ಮಕಾಂಡ ಆ) ಜ್ಞಾನಮಾರ್ಗ ಇ) ಭಕ್ತಿಮಾರ್ಗ ಈ) ಯೋಗಮಾರ್ಗ

15. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಕಾಲ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) 10ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಆ) 11ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಇ) 12ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಈ) 13ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ

16. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಕೃತಿ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ವಚನಗಳು ಆ) ಶೂನ್ಯಸಂಪಾದನೆ ಇ) ಕಾವ್ಯಗಳು ಈ) ಪುರಾಣಗಳು

17. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಸಮಾಧಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿದೆ?
    ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಈ) ಸಮಾಧಿ ಇಲ್ಲ

18. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಸಂದೇಶ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಜಾತಿ ಭೇದ ಆ) ಮೂರ್ತಿ ಪೂಜೆ ಇ) ಆತ್ಮಜ್ಞಾನ ಈ) ಯಜ್ಞಯಾಗ

19. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಗುರುವಿನ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

20. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಬಸವಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭು ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ

21. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪತ್ನಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಮಾಯಾದೇವಿ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿಗೆ ಪತ್ನಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ

22. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಯಾರು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

23. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಬೋಧನೆ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕರ್ಮಕಾಂಡ ಆ) ಜ್ಞಾನಮಾರ್ಗ ಇ) ಭಕ್ತಿಮಾರ್ಗ ಈ) ಯೋಗಮಾರ್ಗ

24. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಕಾಲ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) 10ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಆ) 11ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಇ) 12ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಈ) 13ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ

25. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಕೃತಿ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ವಚನಗಳು ಆ) ಶೂನ್ಯಸಂಪಾದನೆ ಇ) ಕಾವ್ಯಗಳು ಈ) ಪುರಾಣಗಳು

26. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಸಮಾಧಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿದೆ?
    ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಈ) ಸಮಾಧಿ ಇಲ್ಲ

27. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಸಂದೇಶ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಜಾತಿ ಭೇದ ಆ) ಮೂರ್ತಿ ಪೂಜೆ ಇ) ಆತ್ಮಜ್ಞಾನ ಈ) ಯಜ್ಞಯಾಗ

28. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಗುರುವಿನ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

29. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಬಸವಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭು ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ

30. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪತ್ನಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಮಾಯಾದೇವಿ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿಗೆ ಪತ್ನಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ

31. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಯಾರು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

32. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಬೋಧನೆ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕರ್ಮಕಾಂಡ ಆ) ಜ್ಞಾನಮಾರ್ಗ ಇ) ಭಕ್ತಿಮಾರ್ಗ ಈ) ಯೋಗಮಾರ್ಗ

33. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಕಾಲ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) 10ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಆ) 11ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಇ) 12ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಈ) 13ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ

34. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಕೃತಿ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ವಚನಗಳು ಆ) ಶೂನ್ಯಸಂಪಾದನೆ ಇ) ಕಾವ್ಯಗಳು ಈ) ಪುರಾಣಗಳು

35. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಸಮಾಧಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿದೆ?
    ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಈ) ಸಮಾಧಿ ಇಲ್ಲ

36. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಸಂದೇಶ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಜಾತಿ ಭೇದ ಆ) ಮೂರ್ತಿ ಪೂಜೆ ಇ) ಆತ್ಮಜ್ಞಾನ ಈ) ಯಜ್ಞಯಾಗ

37. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಗುರುವಿನ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

38. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಬಸವಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭು ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ

39. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪತ್ನಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಮಾಯಾದೇವಿ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿಗೆ ಪತ್ನಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ

40. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಯಾರು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

41. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಬೋಧನೆ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕರ್ಮಕಾಂಡ ಆ) ಜ್ಞಾನಮಾರ್ಗ ಇ) ಭಕ್ತಿಮಾರ್ಗ ಈ) ಯೋಗಮಾರ್ಗ

42. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಕಾಲ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) 10ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಆ) 11ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಇ) 12ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ ಈ) 13ನೇ ಶತಮಾನ

43. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಕೃತಿ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ವಚನಗಳು ಆ) ಶೂನ್ಯಸಂಪಾದನೆ ಇ) ಕಾವ್ಯಗಳು ಈ) ಪುರಾಣಗಳು

44. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಸಮಾಧಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿದೆ?
    ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಈ) ಸಮಾಧಿ ಇಲ್ಲ

45. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಸಂದೇಶ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಜಾತಿ ಭೇದ ಆ) ಮೂರ್ತಿ ಪೂಜೆ ಇ) ಆತ್ಮಜ್ಞಾನ ಈ) ಯಜ್ಞಯಾಗ

46. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಗುರುವಿನ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಗುಹೇಶ್ವರ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

47. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಆ) ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ ಇ) ಬಸವಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭು ಜನ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ

48. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಪತ್ನಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?
    ಅ) ಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಮಾಯಾದೇವಿ ಈ) ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿಗೆ ಪತ್ನಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ

49. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರು ಯಾರು?
    ಅ) ಬಸವಣ್ಣ ಆ) ಅಕ್ಕಮಹಾದೇವಿ ಇ) ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಮ ಈ) ಚೆನ್ನಬಸವಣ್ಣ

50. ಅಲ್ಲಮಪ್ರಭುವಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಬೋಧನೆ ಯಾವುದು?
    ಅ) ಕರ್ಮಕಾಂಡ ಆ) ಜ್ಞಾನಮಾರ್ಗ ಇ) ಭಕ್ತಿಮಾರ್ಗ ಈ) ಯೋಗಮಾರ್ಗ

T. Siddaiah, Advocate

Shivratri/77

6

Gen. 30.]

MUZRAI.

REVENUE
189 Cl. No. 700
Pet-65
27 JUL. 96
MAIN OFFICE

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue & General Department.

Dated 24th July

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No. 2 and a letter with records.

General No. 162

Muzrai F. No.

Chief Secretary's Official Memoranda No. Pet 743 dated 9th November 1894 and No. Pet 1042 dated 24th December 1894 calling for a report on the petition of Balamuridagoi alias Seeniwasagoi archi of Samagodu Ramachendra Devaru employed in Nittadalli

D.R-94-96-3

9

Petition Marked 27-7-96

Submitted with reference to Rept. of the Asst. Commr. of the Eastern Dist. Sorab 31/7 31/7 Sorab 31/7 1-8-96.

Sitharali complaining against the imposition of Khalsa assessment on the inam lands attached to the temple.

—"—

May the honr. be pleased that the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, to whom the petition was referred for enquiry from this office, has, in his letter No. 1315/59 dated 19 June 1876, furnished a detailed report of the circumstances connected with the petitioner's complaint.

2. As the subject seems to be one for consideration of Government in the Revenue Department, the Deputy Commissioner's letter above referred to and its enclosures are herewith forwarded for disposal in the Revenue Department.

3. The petition received with the Order cited above are also returned herewith.

"By order"

Murai Secretary.

12

11

No

Re: 2 y record
and separate.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Kanara District.

Dated, Camp. Sankeswar, 14th June
24th

The Hon'ble dated
4th May-Secy
Govt 24 Jun-
ans forwarded to Govt
and Govt endorsed 5/0

To

The Hon'ble Secretary
to the Government of Bombay,

Sir,

With reference to your official
Memorandum No. 577 of 8th 15th Dec calling
for a report on the petition of
Lingaraja Jain of Nittalli, I have
the honor to submit the report noted
in the margin* for your information.

2. The petitioner objects to the
Khalsa, imposed by the Survey
Department on the lands enjoyed
by him by the temple of Kama-
-leshwara Devaru in Nittalli. It
will be observed from the report

* (1) Assistant's report
No. 1555 dated 22-6-95
with enclosure as per
margin (2) 1st Assistant
Commissioner's letter
No. 60 dated 2nd March 96
Submitting his
remarks on the
Assistant's report.

4/ Gen. 31]

1896

OFFICE OF THE
MYSORE
BRANCH
925
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Deputy Comm.r
Shimoga Dt.

To The Chief Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 19th.
Despatched 24th. } June
Received 29/6

General No. 1315
No. 59

Enclosure No. 3 & record
each separately

With reference to
Offl. Memo No. 577
dated 15th December
1894 submitting report
on the petition of
Srinivasa Jois of
Tirthalli -

L.R. 94-95-4

land was granted by the Kolaba Sanad but an inadvertence of the petitioner having built and consecrated the temple of Ramachandra Deora, applied to the Sanctions for the grant for enjoyment by the temple free of rent, of certain lands which he had purchased from ryots and promised to pay a consideration for such grant. Accordingly certain lands were received as consideration for the same, and the lands were directed to be allowed to be enjoyed rent free. The lands are situated in the villages of Nagaroli and Kundavali of Ratnagiri Taluka and are described as 8 contiguous lands or major villages named Karbil and Mamanyar respectively. —

3. The Survey Department first divided the lands

1. Karbil ... 79-0-
2. Mamanyar ... 97-0-
176

with

actual bijavari. Any how the manual laws that the holder was entitled to bring into cultivation additional lands not exceeding the reckoned bijavari without being called upon to pay fresh kandayam

7. The manuals also provide that the boundary stones should be fixed along the watikattoo and necessary kalos i.e. the big channels and water courses which belong to the arable lands conveyed in the grant. It may be gathered from this, that the manual not only conveyed the lands under actual cultivation and Kan but also uncultivable lands situated on their border.

8. According to the survey the cultivable area in Karaikal is as follows: —

Nanjah and garden 5. acres 2 & 8 guntas.
Kan . . . . 400 acres. 4 guntas.
Grand . . . 405 acres 6 guntas.

with Khalsatta of Rupees 169-0-0.
But on the Complaint of the Inam-dar that it had been erroneously Calculated on a Comparison of the old Beriz and Survey assess-ment, they revised it with reference to the naji bajawari and Survey area and reduced the Khalsatta to Rupees 99-4-0.

Verbail. Rs 68-8-0
Kunnigar. Rs. 30-12-0

6. The petitioner has now appealed against this also principally on the following two grounds:-

"1st That the increase in extent ascertained in the Survey is not more than the proportion-ate increase discovered in the villages of Negarvalli and Hansavalli respectively.

2nd That all the lands now in his possession are situated within the Waman Pandre' boun-dary Stones which were fixed at the time of the grant.

5. The Amildar has made a careful enquiry and submitted a detailed report after personal inspection of the spot and examination of witnesses. I would therefore briefly discuss the salient points in this case.

6. In the original Sanad the extent of the field block or Karbail in the Mejarvalli village is stated to be 62½ Candies of wet, including nashta and a garden of 2000 trees besides Kan, paying Shisth of 1 3/8 panams. For Mannipur, the area is stated to be wet 51 candies and 6 coondoos including nashta and Kan with a Shisth of 1¼ panams. There is some doubt as to the significance of Nashta Bijavari. Some say it means lands lying Bunjar or uncultivated and others that it refers to bijavari as shewn in the accounts in excess of the

Major village hill 1 acre 18 guntas.

The same details for remaining

are as follows: —

Dry, dêt and garden. 67 acres 10 guntas.

Sappinabetta no 123. 38 acres 26 guntas.

Gomai no: 126. 35 acres 27 guntas.

9. Taking Kerbail alone, the Survey Department calculated the extent of dêt and garden according to the jari dam at 27 acres 1 gunta and allowing the usual excess of 13 per cent: Confirmed in dam 29 acres 29 guntas. and brought the remaining 21 acres and 39 guntas under Kalsatta. The Survey Department has apparently calculated at the rate of 23 1/2 guntas for each major candy, while from the Amildar's report it will be seen that the average extent in acres of each candy of dêt bijavari is 38 guntas and that the petitioners lands do not exceed 39 to

guntas per Candy of old Ajwari as allowing for the waste Ajwari included in his holding.

10. In the same way out of the total cultivable area of 27 acres 10 guntas in Mangipur the Survey Department confirmed 23 acres 9 guntas and treated as waste 14 acres and 1 gunta. This gives an average of $23\frac{3}{5}$ guntas per Candy, which according to the Amildar's calculation the average of the village is between 39 and 33 guntas ~~per Candy~~ as waste is omitted or included and the petitioner's gives an average of .37 guntas.

11. I have no information as to how the Survey Department convert the old Khandy into guntas, but it will be seen from the above that apart from other considerations and allowing the petitioner only the average

excess found in the respective villages he is not liable to pay any Kalsath.

12. The next ground of his contention is that he is entitled to all the lands within the stone boundaries fixed according to the grant and that he should not be taxed for the excess. It will be seen from the plans filed with the records that the 2 hamlets have got 16 and 19 boundary stones respectively and that even the Inam Assistant was of opinion that they were genuine boundaries. The Amildar has also examined old and respectable men as to their genuineness and to the long enjoyment by the petitioner and his ancestors of the lands included within those boundaries. And being

that no other parties hold lands in the neighbourhood of the lands in dispute, I think the petitioner's argument has great force.

13. The next question for consideration is, whether the petitioner is entitled to the lands forming survey no 28 allotted partly as Inam and partly assigned as gomal, to the villagers of Nagarvalli and to survey no. 20. If the petitioner's contention alluded to, in the preceding para is correct, it follows as a matter of course, that he is entitled to enjoy the Inam without any abatement as well as the gomal. It will be observed from the Inam register that the Hakkal and the Hadya belonging to the petitioner's cultivated lands

have

have been confirmed to petitioner and as said lands are stated to be in a knoll or ravine between two hills, there can be no rival claimants entitled to participate in their enjoyment. Besides, the wording in the Sanad, already described, indicates that some uncultivable lands surrounding the cultivable lands were actually intended to be assigned to the grantee. As regards no 126 it is reported that houses have been built thereon and that it now forms part of Kegavalli village though it evidently situated within the boundaries of the grant, the petitioner and his ancestors have slept over their rights and allowed third parties to build houses on the portion, and as it is situated at the extreme corner of the petitioner's lands

No 96 23

Office of the 1st Assistant Commr
Nuwara Eliya
Nuwara
Dated 3rd March 1896

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Nuwara Eliya

Sir

In returning herewith the Petitioner Annadorai's Petn No: 1565 of the 25th June last and its enclosures sent to me on the 10th September last, I have the honor to observe that the petitioner's complaint to the Govt. regarding imposition of Road assessment on the Crops found in the Estate lands assigned to Sinnagode Annachandrasinami Temple has been sent to you with the Surveyor General's official Memorandum No 577 of the 15th December 1894 for Enquiry and Report = In your Hukum No: 866 of the 19th Idem, you directed the

lands, it may well be added on to the village site of Nagarvalli instead of being treated as attested dry land, belonging to the petitioner.

16. Nos: 126 & 123 of the Municipal village which have been treated by the Survey Department as gomal and koppinabetta, should for similar reasons be added to the petitioner's Inam and confirmed to him.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

A Rangaswamy
Deputy Commissioner.
Shimoga District

Forthwith Amildar to make requisite
and submit a complete report =
The Amildar after full enquiry
and inspection of the lands has
made an elaborate report in the
Matter above quoted = Three persons
waited me after the completion
of the Sales Jamabandy. The
Inam lands were shown to me
by the Amildar when I had been
to their neighborhood on other duty,
as the petitioner had made a
verbal complaint to me on the
subject = From my personal
inspection, I found that the
grass complained of is within
the boundaries of "Ramana Mudre
stones fixed at the time of the
Grant. The evidence which the
Amildar has recorded proves
that the stones are old boundaries
and the temple has been in enjoyment
of the land within these boundaries
from many years prior to the
Survey measurement =
2 = In discussion No 52 of the
Dharwad Jamabandi Speech for 1893

12

Pol — 238 Encl: Six Minutes of Papers as noticed in the margin

9/7/10-8-96.

Official Correspondence

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 238 of the 9th July last, with enclosures, and to request that you will convey to the Government of Bengal the expression of Their Lordships' approval of the proposals contained in your letter under reply.

I am also to forward herewith a Telegram which has been received from the Government of India, and to request that you will communicate to the Government of Bengal the observations which Their Lordships desire should be made to that Government in connection with the proposals of the Bengal Government.

I am, Sir,
Your most obedient humble Servant,
[illegible]
1-8-96
Secretary of State

4/8/96

[illegible]

the Diwan was pleased to observe that where original boundaries are clear and clearly extant and identifiable the Sheep would not be looked, and that individual cases might be represented by petitions.= The petitioner urges that his case falls under this category. The records support his Contention.=

3= As you are about to take up the Jamabandy of this Taluk very shortly, the inspection of the boundaries compared with the Records would enable you to send a fiscal report to the Inwgroy Secretary on the subject.

3- The Judicial Records as per Perish accompany.—

I have the honor to be
Sir.
your obedient Servant

R. Ramachandra
1st Assistant Commissioner
Dindigul District

Q.S. 1-3-96

4-3-96

To see Bright etc.
at the time of
Jamabandi
W.P. 22
& petition etc.
Memorandum
Summons
22-3-96

Rep dtd 2016

Inspection
assessment
the access
in the Jama
assigned
Bode Ram
Swami
Tatiallu
Petr. Sunni

1895-96

From the 1st Addl
Sessions

Dt 30 March 96

Read by
Rep dtd

No 96 Cr. of

No 19: 28

(3)

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 5th September 1896

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Revenue Office Memorandum No. 238 dated 31st ult. and 1st August 1896. The Memorandum under reply deals with the complaint of Srinivasa Jois Atreshik of Somagod Amildar Revenue Circle in the Tirth-Kolli Taluk, regarding the imposition of "Kadlat" on the Inam lands held

Pet Mauk
5/9/96

Rec'd 8/9

27 A

(General Serial No. 30.)

1896.

From

The Supt: Mysore Revenue Survey

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 5th September 1896

Received

Enclosures No. one file

General No. 193
No.

In reply to Memo No 238 dt 7.8.96

SUBJECT.

Submitting his opinion on the complaint of Srinivasgois Archik of Samagod Ravachendra Devarew in the Seethahalli Sh regarding the imposition of Khaloat on the Inam lands held by the Temple

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

13

7-8-96 ci/entry.

with Revenue Proc: 2840-1 dated 20 1882 and the connected ned from the Gl. Records

L.R. 94-95

Attended to.
Mark
16 9 96

Secretary Asst to a precis ... to send him ... the interest ...

Precis Submitted
DAS
19/9/96

... d for orders, with precis

19/9/96

RE... DEPARTMENT. Gl. No. 1860 Pet. No. 153 7 SEP 96 NEW ... OFFICE.

held by the Temple.

2 The same subject has already been dealt with in Proceedings of the Durbar of Ellysia No. 2840-1/40 dated 4th July 7832, in which the case was directed to be enquired into and disposed of by the Imam Superintendent according as the Imam was clearly a land Imam as only recorded in the old accounts in terms of Sect. 5a Boundaries as defined by stones, upon which the petitioner claimed exemption from

from the imposition of Khalsat, were declared in the Dewan's Proceedings just quoted, to be uncertain data and to be inadmissible. The Survey Department, on receipt from the Inam Department of the necessary information, in Form II, regarding Bijwari, allocated the Inam in accordance with Rules and with the instructions contained in the Dewan's Proceedings of 1881 already quoted. This is the allocation which is now complained against.

B-29

3 After perusing the ...
Deputy Commissioner's Report
made in his letter No. 1315/59 of
the 19th June 1896, and after
examining the village maps,
I think there is something
in favour of the petitioners'
claim in the fact that the
Inam lands are, to a certain
extent although not wholly,
situated in what are known
"Kovus" and also in the fact
that both Karbail and ...
Manigar appear to have
always occupied a distinct
position as hamlets. On the
other

other land land in the possession
of others and in no way con-
nected with the lands are
situated within the boundaries
claimed by the Crown and
it is not the case, especially
in Okanagan, that there was
no adverse possession which
would render encroachment
improbable. The boundary
stones have already been
declared by Government to
be inadmissible
In the Land Department
no claim under the head of
Indian staying so much West

was

was admitted.

5 The Deputy Commissioner advocates the claim of the Scandinavian very strongly and it rests with Government to give such special Order as it may deem fit. The circumstances of the claim are somewhat peculiar and Government may perhaps be inclined to allow 5 per cent instead of 10 per cent of the 2 years over the extent admitted by the Steam Department.

The

The enclosures 3 4 received with the above under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedt. Servant

J. P. Grant

Supdt. Mysore Reserve
Survey

Re
2.10.96

Rejn. Gp.
22/10/96

Dy. Secy. to Govt. Rev. Dept.

Mi
24/10

Papers enclosed to the Petition
Returned.
T. D.
Secy.
24/10/96

Rec.
26/10
P. T. 21/10

Papers received this day 7 pages.
Rejn
30/10/96

L. R. 94-96.

This is a reminder
Rejn -

Reply awaited from
the Dept. Govt. Mi-
sore - vide D.O
No. 3901 dt 19.10.96

Office - Submit after
the 20th inst:
if reply be not
rec'd. in the mean-
while from the
Dept. Govt -
M
3/10/96
III

Issue a Reminder
M 3/11/96

Reply not yet received.

Mr. Secretary
Please note papers in Rejn
- & give file to Record Keeper
Bille 3/11

21/11 Adams
Asst. Secy.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 2780
Fn. 29
29 OCT. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

(14)

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govern-
ment of Mysore
Revenue & General Departments

Dated 13th October 1896.

Despatched 16 October 1896.

Received

General No. 5717
Mysore F. No.

Enclosure No. 1.

This office docket No.
62 dated 24th July 1896
Transferring for disposal
in the Revenue Depart-
ment, Nirmuga Sepu-
Commissioner's letter
No. 1315/59 dated 19th June
1896, expressing on the
petition of one Bala-
subramanya, for relax
of the Sannagode Ra-
machandra Devaru
temple in Nirthadi

In continuation of the above, I am to forward herewith for the Board in the Revenue Department a petition No. 292 since received on the subject from the petitioner Balasundaram alias Sivarama Jois.

By order

Asst. Secretary

ਅਸੀਂ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਬਾਲਗ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਇਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਇਸ ਸ਼ਹਿਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਹਿ ਰਹੇ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਇਸ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਦੇ ਅੰਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਖੇ ਹੋਏ ਹਨ, ਇਸ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਦੁਆਰਾ ਇਹ ਐਲਾਨ ਕਰਦੇ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਅਸੀਂ ਇਸ ਸ਼ਹਿਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਇੱਕ ਸਭਾ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਇਕੱਠੇ ਹੋਏ ਹਾਂ ਜਿਸ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਹੋਵੇਗਾ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਸਭਾ ਰਾਮਪੁਰ ਖੁਰਦ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਸਭਾ ਦਾ ਮੁੱਖ ਉਦੇਸ਼ ਹੋਵੇਗਾ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੀ ਉੱਨਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਪ੍ਰਚਾਰ ਕਰਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਉਦੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਪੂਰਤੀ ਲਈ ਅਸੀਂ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਨਿਯਮਾਂ ਦੀ ਪਾਲਣਾ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਵਚਨ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਹਾਂ।

ਨਿਯਮ ੧ — ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਬਣਨ ਲਈ ਕਿਸੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਦਾ ਘੱਟੋ-ਘੱਟ ਅਠਾਰਾਂ ਸਾਲ ਦੀ ਉਮਰ ਦਾ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੋਵੇਗਾ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਮੌਜੂਦਾ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਦੁਆਰਾ ਪ੍ਰਸਤਾਵਿਤ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਰਥਿਤ ਹੋਣਾ ਪਵੇਗਾ।

ਨਿਯਮ ੨ — ਸਭਾ ਦੀ ਮਾਸਿਕ ਬੈਠਕ ਹਰ ਮਹੀਨੇ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਤਾਰੀਖ਼ ਨੂੰ ਸ਼ਾਮ ਨੂੰ ਛੇ ਵਜੇ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ ਅਤੇ ਜੇ ਉਹ ਦਿਨ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਦਾ ਦਿਨ ਹੋਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਅਗਲੇ ਕੰਮ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਿਨ ਬੈਠਕ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ।

ਨਿਯਮ ੩ — ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਚੰਦਾ ਦੋ ਰੁਪਏ ਦੇਣਾ ਪਵੇਗਾ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਚੰਦਾ ਹਰ ਸਾਲ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਅਪ੍ਰੈਲ ਤੱਕ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਰਵਾਉਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੋਵੇਗਾ।

ਨਿਯਮ ੪ — ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਕੰਮਕਾਜ ਦੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਵੀ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੀ ਰੱਖੇ ਜਾਣਗੇ।

ਨਿਯਮ ੫ — ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਧਾਨ, ਸਕੱਤਰ ਅਤੇ ਖ਼ਜ਼ਾਨਚੀ ਦੀ ਚੋਣ ਹਰ ਸਾਲ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਬੈਠਕ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਇੱਕ ਸਾਲ ਤੱਕ ਆਪਣੇ ਅਹੁਦੇ ਤੇ ਰਹਿਣਗੇ।

ਨਿਯਮ ੬ — ਸਭਾ ਦੀ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਵੇਚਣ ਜਾਂ ਗਹਿਣੇ ਰੱਖਣ ਲਈ ਤਿੰਨ ਚੌਥਾਈ ਬਹੁਮਤ ਦੀ ਲੋੜ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ।

ਨਿਯਮ ੭ — ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਨੂੰ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕੱਢਿਆ ਜਾ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਜੇ ਉਹ ਲਗਾਤਾਰ ਤਿੰਨ ਮਹੀਨੇ ਚੰਦਾ ਨਾ ਦੇਵੇ ਜਾਂ ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਉਦੇਸ਼ਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਕੰਮ ਕਰੇ।

ਨਿਯਮ ੮ — ਇਹ ਨਿਯਮ ਸਭਾ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਬੈਠਕ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੀ ਲਾਗੂ ਹੋ ਜਾਣਗੇ ਅਤੇ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਤਬਦੀਲੀ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਬੈਠਕ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕੇਗੀ।

ਅਸੀਂ ਇਸ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਉੱਤੇ ਅੱਜ ਮਿਤੀ 17 ਮਾਰਚ 1890 ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਮਰਜ਼ੀ ਨਾਲ ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕੀਤੇ ਹਨ।

ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਸਕੱਤਰ ਵਜੋਂ ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਅਤੇ ਪਤਾ ਲਿਖੋ

17/3/1890

37

ಸ್ವಸ್ತಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ಸಕಲ ಭುವನಾಶ್ರಯ ಶ್ರೀಪೃಥ್ವೀವಲ್ಲಭ ಮಹಾರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಪರಮೇಶ್ವರ ಪರಮಭಟ್ಟಾರಕ ಸತ್ಯವಾಕ್ಯ ಕುಂದಮಲ್ಲ ಜೋಯಿಸಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಜೋಯಿಸರು ನಮ್ಮ

(15)

39

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt.

L.R 94-95-7

No. 3901 Dated 12.10.96
P.Z.2-96 26

Sub: Rev: Revenue
(sent separately)

His letter No 1315 dated 6th June 1896 & its Enclosure regarding the petition of Srinivasa for Institution of the Long standing Inam lands at Kariati and Hunagina supposed to Ramachandra Devara temple at Kariati

---

I am directed to inform you that the Govt request that the following may be furnished —

(1) a sketch of the ~~village~~ Hobli showing the Inam Survey Numbers in each Kane, the villages other possessions such as (a) Kere (b) Praying land or Gomal, (c) Buildings &c. (c) Applicants

8

40

distinctly than ever
married, as also the fields
confirmed in favour of those
owned in Khalsat

(2) Information as to following
points :—

(a) Area effected due Survey & Re-
construction carried in Re.
Rs 2543 — 9 — 7 1/2
40
& when the Khalsat was raised
from Rs 33 — 4 — 0

(b) Why & under what authority
was the income of Khalsat
not collected from 1351 F.
& what is the present annual
rent due by Pt —

(c) How far the excess land was
dealt with since

(d) Who are the persons in
occupation of the excess lands
since resumption, the fields, Kam
fonal, Jaminetta etc
taken as Khalsat

2 The records called for
file above are returned for
reference & necessary action

By order

Sd/-

Jr Secretary

26-10-9

Rev. Branch

Please put up a copy of No. 1753 of 11-12-96

10 Mr. Lyne to be requested to favour us with a Plan of Branch

2/1

Rev. Branch

Rec'd parish

Returned to Rev. Branch on 29-1-97 ✱K

Returned and submitted.

2/2

submitted

2/-

L.R. 94-94-8

41 (16)

PUBLIC DEPARTMENTS
No. 4847
27 JAN 97
EWANS DEED

From

The Dy Commissioner
Umvoti District

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Natal
Native
Govt and Revenue Depts

Dated 26th January 1897

Despatched

Received

General No. 755
No. 644

Enclosure No. 1 & com: book
sent safely

With reference to the circular
No. 39 of
P.721-96 of the 19th Dec.
1896, reports upon the
Municipal & Native Courts
at Estcourt and Umvoti
required by Parliament
Return Tariffs of Fines

Return
27/1/97
Mail

Rec'd 28/1

Submitted

42

112

Shimoga District

No. 765/644

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Shimoga 16th January 1897

In. & com: records and generally

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departts.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 3214
P. 721-96
of the 19th October 1896 requesting to be furnished with certain information about the Khatedari lands held by Karibail and Shimoga attached to ~~the Sagar Taluq~~ Rama Subbanda's Dewan Temple at Tirthalli, I have the honour to submit as follows:—

(1) A sketch of the ground within the Nagara Mandal Boundary stones in each of the villages of Karibail and Shimoga in duly attested form is herewith submitted. Sagar

(2) ... are filed with the records

in

in File No 1, Serial Nos V and VI.

(a) Effect was given to the instructions conveyed in Proceedings No 2840-1/40 of the 17th July 1882, in May 1894 as per Survey Superintendent's Yadi No 139 dated 29th May 1894 and Inam Superintendent's Docket No 5 of the 17th July 1894, Copy of which is herewith submitted for reference, and the Khalsat was accordingly reduced from Rs 169 to Rs 99-4-0, and the difference of Rs 69-12-0 per annum from the year 1881-82 to 1892-93 aggregating Rs 767-4-0 has been remitted as per Government Proceedings No 1752/4-95 of the 10th August 1895.

Serial No 5 in the file

(b) The postponement of the collection of Khalsat was ordered pending final orders in the case as reported

in para 3 of this Office letter No 1026/R706 of the 24th February 1882, upon which the Government order No 2840-1/40 of the 17th July 1882 was passed. The aggregate amount now due by petitioner is Rs 1627-8-0 from the year 1891-92 to 1895-96 inclusive.

(c) The excess land is still in the enjoyment and possession of the Inamdar like before, but in the Government accounts, it is shown as Sirkar though it is not separated to distinguish the Sirkar from Inam lands. The excess land has been fully assessed.

(d) The Kans, Gomal, Soppin-betta &c. referred to in this are not brought under "Inam" and treated as "Khalsat" but in the Survey accounts, they have been treated

as further lands. The lands re-
which have been acquired by the
Survey Department are in the
occupancy of the petitioner.

2. The records received with
the above are herewith returned
for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Note

1894 46

From

The Superintendent of
Indian Settlements

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Dated 17th July

His. No 5.

In reply to his docket No 35 of the
7th instant, I have the honour to in-
form that the matter alluded to
in the docket under reply has already
been disposed of in the letter of even
No for the grant of Vittalli Rama-
chandra Dwarki Temple as per the
Government Proceedings No 2540-
40
dated 17th July 892 and supplemental
Sanad Patteds have already been
sent to the Deputy Commissioner
with Survey Superintendent's Guide

41

No 139 dated 29th May last.

Sir J. D. Grant

Superintendent of Indian Settlements

"Copy"

Lieutenant Governor

Deputy Commissioner

Winnipeg District

WW

L.R. 8772 / P.No. 21-96 / 6.4.97 (17)

(Encls as per margin Para 4)

From

The Secretary to Govt.
Govt. & Rev. Deptt.

To

The Supdt. of Rev. Survey
Mysore.

L.R. 96-96-9

Adverting to your letter No. 193 dated 5th September 1896 submitting your opinion on the complaint of Srinivasa Joisa Gothak of Somagod Ramachandra Heranna in the Sirsi Taluk regarding the imposition of Kheloba on the Inam lands held by the temple, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to favour the Govt. with information regarding the mode of conversion adopted by the Inam Deptt. in reference to this Inam.

I am to invite your attention

1 of the old Byjadar (in Canada) into acres

attention to the statement
of the Deputy Commissioner
that the average rental of the village,
in which Muhammadan hamlets
or lands are situated, give
a higher rate in favour of the
Inamdar and to request
him to report whether that
whether fact has not
a bearing upon this question
of a lower rate has been
adopted by the Inam Settlement
Officer. I now attention to
the paragraph of
remarks reply to which my
No 102 of Inam Proceedings
1893. In the concluding
Paragraph thereof, which
is a modification of the
remarks & views expressed
in the order of Govt
Pro: 2840-1 dated
20
17th July 1882.

3. If the rent land is si-
-tuated in a Konkan and is
to depend only on such a
situation for rent enhance-
-ment it is not improbable
that the use of the words

12. As regards the question of
boundaries, I am to

5 6 : Nelli Kallos and Veeru Konakalu" in the g Samad indicates the grant of the water catchment on either side of the valley up to the point of water parting as an auxiliary for the wet land. These points do not seem to have been considered by the ~~Survey~~ you ~~Supt~~ and any observation which may occur to ~~him~~ you on the points above set - forth may help the Govt in forming a correct opinion on the question raised in this case

4. The accompanying ⑧ papers noted in the margin relating to the above are forwarded for reference and ~~decision~~ power of returning when no

el from the Secretary to the Govt
ri receipt No 162 of 26-7-96 with
o Nos 1165 and 1712 dated 26-10-94
12-96 from Srinivasa Jois
er No 1315 dated 19-6-96 from
Collr Shimoga to the Govt
ed
ds received with the above
No 765/446 of 18-1-97 from the Dy Collr Shimoga

no longer required
Thank you

M

Asst Secretary

3/7/67

To. The Supt of Rev

57

The use of him for you
is rather unexcusable

M

52 2 pages (18)

Gen. 31]

1897

From

The Secretary to Govt
Genl & Rev. Depts

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt

Dated 28th

Despatched 2nd Feb 97.

Received

General No. 9572 (1598)
Y. & No. 2-50

Enclosure No.

L.R. 96-96-10

His letter No 765 dated 644
18th January 1897, submitting a report on the complaint of Srinivasa Jois Purohit of Sarnagod Ramachendra vararu in the Sirsi Taluka regarding the imposition of Khadsal on the Inamlands held by the temple,

I have the honor to request that he will be good enough to report what is the assessment of the Kandayam claimed by the Petitioner

By order

[illegible]
Secretary

28/4/97

Rep. petn (A.F. 21796)

AK
5/5

Dr. with reference to the
memo. Council Nos. on d.s
memo. of Dy. Comrs. No.
dated 18.1.97.

The report from the
Comr. is due about the
5.

D. R. 96-96-11

7/5/97

with the assessment on the
- if any can be found out
ference to the Inam enquiry
nd. Let one of the clerks peruse
them & bring it to me 7/5/97

to 2nd Councillor with Office note
Continued
M 12/5/97

Gen. Serial No. 30.] Pet 729/665

1897

From

The Supt Mysore Revenue
Survey

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
~~Dewan of Mysore~~. South
of Mysore

Dated Bangalore 3rd April
3.5.97
1897

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 files

General No. 759
No.

In reply to Secretary's letter No.
8772
SUBJECT. P. 21796 5/6 ult.

Reporting on the
complaints of Sunivas
Jois archak of Samagod
Ramachendra Devaru
in the Inthahalli
Hobli &c

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Peta
3.5.97

Rec'd
4/5

3/5/97

No 759

Survey Department Office
Bangalore 2nd May 1930.

To.

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Agl and Cooperative
Depts

Sir,

I have the honour to acknow-
ledge the receipt of your letter
No 3992 dated 6th ultimo re-
lating to the complaint of
Srinivasa Iyer Shrikanta of
Kanmagod Ramachandra Pa-
-ttan on the Tirthahalli Ta-
-luq regarding the imposition of
"Khesirat" on the seven Sandal

held

held by the Temple, as reported on in my letter of 1893 of the 5th September 1896.

2 I have examined the figures upon which the Auditor-General has calculated that the average of the village, in which the above charges were situated, gave a higher rate in favour of the Landlord. The Auditor's figures are materially correct and may be accepted. This fact, however, doubtfully, does not to any extent affect the question at issue.

issue. It shows that the rat of conversion adopted by the Indian Department viz about 24 Guntas for the Khandy is, for this village at any rate, too low. This rate of conversion, however, the local Khandy being = to 45 seers was arrived at on the report of the Amildar and the Shanbog.

3 I note that Dewan's reply to subject Sr. 102 of Dasara Proceedings 1893 is a modification of the Dewa views as expressed in the Order of Government Pro: Sr.

of Dover Reading 1893,
& presume that Government
would decide the declaration
in question to be admitted
as clear and identifiable
and that in case the answer
is not to his satisfaction. This
being so it is necessary only
to ascertain the Petitioner's
actual surviving within
the United Kingdom and
to confirm the same. Yes
it would be necessary in the
ordinary way that Journal
& presume would not be
required to be produced but
simply left free, as letters
bearing the presence of
Government

Yes

Yes -

Journal may be left free Mail.

Government. If Government
considers that the Grant should
be revoked and confirmed
I will do so.

4. On receiving the
decision of Government on
this above point I will care-
fully ascertain the land
now in actual enjoyment
of the Temple and confirm
the same on such limit as
Government may direct.

5. The enclosures received
with your letter under reply
are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
H. Frere
Lieutenant Colonel
Survey

57

No. 2840-1/40 dated 17th July

1882. In the case now under

reference the boundary stones

were specifically stated to

be inadmissible and I

naturally acted on that

decision. The Deputy Commr

and other Revenue Officers

are now unanimous in

admitting the correctness of

the Boundary stones and

this being the case and

taking into consideration

the very distinct reply of

the Diwan to subject No 102

of

(69)

L.R. 96-96-12

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:—

Petition No 145/175 dated 19th October 1894 from Subramanya Jōsi alias Seemus Jōsi Archak of the Samagara Ranchenda Devara temple of Thirthahalli complaining against the levy of khatsat on the temple Inam lands at Kavalai and Muniyur Thirthahalli taluk.

2. Letter No 62 dated 24th July 1895 from the Huzur Secretary forwarding report No 345/59 on the above dated 19th June 1895 from the Dy Com — Shimoga District.

3. Letter No 193 dated 7th August 1896 from the Supt of Revenue Survey, and correspondence ending with his letter No 759 dated 3d May 1897.

Read again—

4 Govt Proceedings No 3840-41/40 dated 17th July 1862.

Order thereon:— No 116-8/J.F.21-96 dated 7/7/97

An inscription of the Petition

(Read: 1 Dc. Shimoga)
2 Pahani books
1 Paimbake Patrike
2 Files of V. Corresp: nos I & II
1 Petition and its accompaniments

petitioner having built and consecrated the temple of Ramchandra Devaru, lands to the extent specified in the margin and situated within Kaman Mudra Stones were granted by the Kelādi Sansthān as Inam to the temple.

In 1875 the Inam Dept confirmed lands assessed at Rs 202-12-a and bearing a Jodi of Rs 164-11-1 and left the allocation of the Inam to the Survey Dept.

The Survey Dept in allocating the Inam on a comparison of the old beriz and Survey Assessment charged the lands with a Khaloat of Rs 169.

On Petitioner's appeal to Govt in 1880, it was decided in 1882 that the allocation should be made on the old bijwari. The revised allocation seems to have been effected in 1894 when the Khaloat was reduced from Rs 169 to Rs 99-4-a.

Petitioner has appealed against

(1) Kanbil in the Meganalli village of Kan 2½ candies of wet including Nashta and a garden of 2000 nuts besides Kan paying Assessment of Rs 1 3/8 fanams

(2) Muniyan - 5 Candies & 4 Koodoos including Nashta and Kan with a Assessment of 1¼ fanams.

62 the above order and urges that no Khalsa should be charged on the grounds that the rate of conversion of the old Bigavari into acres adopted by the Survey Dept was very low - and that the lands charged in the Khalsa are all situated within the "Vaman Mudre slopes."

2. Both the Dy Comm^r and the Survey Supt have admitted the reasonableness of the grounds advanced by the petitioner. The Survey Supt recommends that, in accordance with the views of the Diwan in his reply to Subject No 102 of the Panna Proceedings of 1893, boundary slopes may be accepted in this case, and the lands confirmed as Inam - Subject to an enquiry into petitioner's last assignment, Government approve of these proposals, and the Survey Supt is requested to revise the Settlement accordingly - Kan will be assessed in the ordinary way, but Ginat may be left free as hitherto.

"By order"

"Sft"

C2
4-7-97

Voted unanimously 9-7-97

20

The Secretary Govt. of Revenue
Survey in Mysore

65

Reports (Immigration Survey
District Records -

Mysore Secretary to Govt.
for information.

X ಗೆ ಅಂಕಿ
6-7-97

L.R. 94-94-13

65

"By order"

Sd/-

Sd/-

11/7/97